UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,479	08/22/2003	Jin-hyung Kim	1293.1809	8719
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 12/15/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ ANTENDIE NINI	KASSA, HILINA S		
WASHINGTON	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/645,479	KIM, JIN-HYUNG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HILINA S. KASSA	2625	

	HILINA S. KASSA	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con	 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).		•	-				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Hilina S Kassa/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	j					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arugments are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Fritz does not disclose "determining whethere data to be printed are not received by the wireless printer server for more than a predetermined period during the wireless printing operation".

With respect to the argument, Fritz disclose sending a keep alive messages frequently to the printer server. A keep alive timer is implemented in the entity and comprises a starting device that is arranged for starting and restarting the keep alive timer each time a valid message is sent to the printer server. Print data that is to be printed by the printer is send by the sending device along with the request message. The printing process is broken when the ACL connection is broken (column 5, lines 35-60). Therefore, it is disclosed that there is a timer that keeps the printer and the server alive to communicate with one another unless when the signal/connection between the two is not received/broken. So, the stated argument is not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Fritz does not disclose "determining whether a link state or a link quality of a wireless communication is good by analyzing the received wireless communication information"

With respect to the arugment, Fritz disclose wherein the ACL connection is analyzed if the connection is broken as stated in column 5, lines 56-62. Also, in column 9, lines 11-19; note that the ACL connection is established to be connection-less as in good communication state through the analysis of the printer protocol. Therefore, the above argument is taught in Fritz et al.

Applicant argues that Frits does not disclose "the print error information is displayed on a display screen of the printer or is printed in by the printer".

With respect to the arugment, Fritz disclose that a message is reported by the printer i.e. the printing process might be broken because the ACL connection is broken and the message is sent to the print server which gives a note to the user by displaying it on the screen on PC. It is inherent that the printer already knows the error message since it is initially sent by the printer itself. For user convenience, it is displayed by the PC screen. Therefore, it is inherent that the printer displays an error message. So, the stated arguemnt is not persuasive.